

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 4

1. (Amended) A ferroelectric memory, comprising:  
an insulation film having a hollow at a top surface;  
a laminated body obtained by laminating a plurality of layers on said top surface and etching a region of said plurality of layers corresponding to a region other than said hollow, wherein said laminated body includes a lower electrode layer, a ferroelectric layer formed on said lower electrode layer and an upper electrode layer formed on said ferroelectric layer.

### REMARKS

The Office Action mailed May 9, 2001 and the references cited therein have been carefully considered. The specification and Claim 1 have been amended in a sincere effort to further clarify the subject matter Applicant regards as the invention. In addition, Claims 6-14 have been cancelled without prejudice to their incorporation in a divisional application to be filed.

No new matter has been added to the specification or claims as amended. Support for this Amendment is found generally within the specification, claims, and drawings, as filed. As a result of this Amendment taken together with the remarks set forth below, it is respectfully submitted that pending Claims 1-5 are now before the Examiner in condition for favorable consideration and allowance.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 2, and 4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,614,438 to Evans, et al. (*Evans*). Specifically, the Examiner contends that *Evans* discloses (at column 2, line 62 to column 4, line 36) a ferroelectric memory device having the elements recited in Claim 2.

Further, regarding Claim 2, the Examiner contends that *Evans* discloses a ferroelectric memory having a film 35 formed in the bottom of a hollow separating the insulation layer 34 and the lower electrode 45, as shown in Figure 4. Regarding Claim 4, the Examiner contends

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 5

that *Evans* discloses a lower electrode formed on the surface of the same material as that of the lower electrode (at column 3, lines 34-38).

In addition, Claims 3 and 5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Evans* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,078,093 to Lee (*Lee*). Regarding Claim 3, the Examiner concedes that *Evans* does not disclose that the lower electrode includes two portions, but contends that *Lee* teaches that the first lower electrode portion formed in a hollow is a barrier layer that prevents the formation of the interface oxide film. Thus, the Examiner contends that it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have two lower electrode portions to prevent the formation of oxide in the interface.

Regarding Claim 5, the Examiner contends that *Evans* does not disclose that the lower electrode and the insulation film are planarized flush with each other, but that *Evans*, as modified by *Lee*, discloses the first and second lower electrode portions. The Examiner further contends that *Lee* discloses that the layers are polished and planarized to expose an insulation layer 28 (at column 6, lines 30-36).

The subject invention is directed to a ferroelectric memory, which includes an insulation film and a laminated body. The insulation film has a hollow and a top surface. The laminated body is obtained by laminating a plurality of layers on the top surface and etching a region of the plurality of layers corresponding to a region other than the hollow. The laminated body includes a lower electrode layer, a ferroelectric layer formed on the lower electrode layer, and an upper electrode layer formed on the ferroelectric layer, as now defined by amended Claim 1.

As recited in amended Claim 1, the plurality of layers including the lower electrode layer, the ferroelectric layer, and the upper electrode layer are laminated on the top surface of the insulation film. The region of the plurality of layers corresponding to a region other than the hollow is then etched, thereby forming the laminated body. Since the lower electrode

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 6

layer is formed in the hollow, the period of time required for the etching process is shortened, which substantially prevents the deterioration of ferroelectric characteristics, as disclosed at page 1, line 25 to page 2, line 9; page 7, lines 3-11; page 7, line 23 to page 8, line 4; and page 9, lines 1-20 of the specification.

*Evans* relates to a method for making small electrodes for use with ferroelectric-based capacitors. Problems associated with the deposition and etching of a lanthanum strontium cobalt oxide (LSCO) layer during the generation of platinum features on a surface of a substrate are allegedly overcome by utilizing low-temperature sputtering of the LSCO layer.

The *Evans* method includes depositing a layer of titanium on a substrate and then masking the titanium layer and regions outside the area to be covered by the electrode. The exposed regions of the titanium layer are then etched. A new layer of titanium is deposited followed by a layer of platinum. The LSCO material is then sputtered onto the platinum layer at room temperature. The masking material is removed leaving the platinum electrode bonded to the substrate by the newly deposited titanium layer. The resulting structure is then crystallized by heating it to a high temperature.

However, *Evans* does not teach or suggest a hollow being formed on a top surface of an insulation film, as now defined by amended Claim 1. Rather, *Evans* describes an additional titanium oxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) layer deposited on the SiO<sub>2</sub> layer to prevent contact between materials in the electrode stack and the underlying SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, as described at column 3, lines 3-13.

The Examiner contends that reference numeral 45 in *Evans* is a lower electrode, reference numeral 46 is a ferroelectric, and reference numeral 54 is an upper electrode. However, reference numeral 45 refers to a titanium base layer, reference numeral 46 refers to a platinum electrode, and reference numeral 54 refers to an LSCO layer. Even if the titanium base layer could be considered a lower electrode, the platinum electrode and the LSCO layer

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 7

could not be considered the ferroelectric and the upper electrode layers, respectively. Further, the laminated body formed by the titanium base layer, the platinum electrode layer, and the LSCO layer is actually an ohmic contact, which is entirely different from the ferroelectric memory recited in Claim 1. Thus, *Evans* fails to teach or suggest the ferroelectric memory, as now defined by amended Claim 1.

*Lee* relates to a capacitor structure with a high dielectric constant suitable for fabrication on semiconductor devices. The capacitor includes a semiconductor substrate having an impurity diffusion region, an insulating layer formed on the semiconductor substrate having a contact hole in the impurity diffusion region, and a first lower electrode formed on the insulating film along an upper edge of the contact hole.

The capacitor also includes a second lower electrode formed on a surface of the substrate exposed through the contact hole, a dielectric layer formed on the first and second lower electrodes, and an upper electrode formed on the dielectric layer. However, *Lee* does not teach or suggest a ferroelectric formed on a lower electrode, as now defined by amended Claim 1.

*Lee* also describes a lower electrode, a dielectric layer formed on the lower electrode, and an upper electrode formed on the dielectric layer. However, according to *Lee*, neither the dielectric layer nor the upper electrode formed on the lower electrode is subjected to the etching process. Therefore, the dielectric layer and the upper electrode are formed not only on a region corresponding to the hollow, but also on regions other than the hollow. Thus, the structure of the lower electrode, the dielectric layer, and the upper electrode is substantially different from that of the laminated body, as now defined by Claim 1.

Since *Evans* fails to teach or suggest a laminated body in which a ferroelectric or dielectric layer is sandwiched between upper and lower electrodes, it is respectfully submitted that *Lee* cannot be applied to *Evans*. Further, it is respectfully submitted that even

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 8

if both references are combined, the result will not have a structure even remotely similar to that of the present invention. Therefore, the structure recited in Claim 1 is neither taught nor suggested by the combination of *Evans* and *Lee*.

Applicant respectfully notes that in order to support a claim of *prima facie* anticipation, a single reference must teach or enable each of the claimed elements as arranged in the claim interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art. Further, in order to support a claim of *prima facie* obviousness, the cited references must teach or suggest each and every element of the invention, and there must be a motivation in the references or the prior art to combine the references as suggested.

However, none of the art of record teaches or suggests, either alone or in combination a ferroelectric memory, which includes an insulation film and a laminated body obtained by laminating a plurality of layers on a top surface of an insulation film and etching a region of the plurality of layers corresponding to a region other than the hollow. The laminated body includes a lower electrode layer, a ferroelectric layer formed on the lower electrode layer, and an upper electrode layer formed on the ferroelectric layer, as now defined by amended Claim 1.

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-5, which depend from Claim 1 are patentable over the art of record by virtue of their dependency from Claim 1, which is believed patentable for the reasons set forth above. Further, Applicant submits that Claims 2-5 define additional patentable subject matter in their own right. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claims 1, 2, and 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and the rejection of Claims 3 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Applicant: Katsumi Sameshima  
Serial No.: 09/451,979  
Filed: November 30, 1999  
Our Docket: 362-39  
Page 9

In view of the foregoing Amendment and remarks, entry of the amendments to the specification and Claim 1, favorable consideration of Claim 1 as amended, favorable reconsideration of Claims 2-5, and allowance of pending Claims 1-5 are respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Rod S. Turner  
Registration No.: 38,639  
Attorney for Applicant

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP  
6900 Jericho Turnpike  
Syosset, New York 11791  
(516) 822-3550  
RST/jp

139034\_1.DOC